The Abstract Syntax as Ontology

Krasimir Angelov

Chalmers University of Technology

August 25, 2009

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?



2 Types, Dependent Types and Reasoning

Semantic Web









2 Types, Dependent Types and Reasoning

3 Semantic Web







Ontology is the philosophical study of the nature of being, existence or reality in general, as well as of the basic **categories** of being and their **relations**. Traditionally listed as a part of the major branch of philosophy known as metaphysics, ontology deals with questions concerning what **entities** exist or can be said to exist, and how such entities can be grouped, related within a **hierarchy**, and subdivided according to similarities and differences.

Wikipedia

The Object Oriented Modeling is building an Ontologies for some concrete domain.

```
C++

class Shape { ... }

class Triangle : Shape { ... }

class Rectangle : Shape { ... }

class Square : Rectangle { ... }
```

The inheritance graph is also called Taxonomy

Classes have properties, members, fields they define relations between entities in the ontology.

C++

class Rectangle : Shape { Point topleft, bottomright; }
class Point { int x,y; }

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

The database schema is an ontology defined using relational algebra.



Object models could be defined in a family of languages RDF, RDFS, OWL Lite, OWL Full

```
<shapes:Rectangle rdf:about="#R123">
<shapes:topleft>
<shapes:Point>
<shapes:y>10 </shapes:x>
</shapes:y>10 </shapes:y>
</shapes:topleft>
<shapes:bottomright>
<shapes:N01</shapes:x>
<shapes:y>100 </shapes:x>
<shapes:point>
<shapes:y>100 </shapes:y>
</shapes:point>
</shapes:bottomright>
</shapes:bottomright>
</shapes:bottomright>
</shapes:bottomright>
</shapes:Rectangle>
```

OWL allows light inference also: inverse properties, transitivity etc.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Suggested Upper Merged Ontology (SUMO)

- The biggest open source ontology - 20000 concepts and 70000 axioms
- Mapping to WordNet
- Language generation templates for Hindi, Chinese, Italian, German, Czech and English
- Partial export to OWL



Ontologies in Type Theory

Dependently Types Languages - GF, Agda, Epigram, Coq ...

cat Shape; Triangle; Rectangle; Point;

fun triangle : Point → Point → Point → Triangle; rectangle : Point → Point → Rectangle;

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

shapeTri : Triangle \rightarrow Shape; shapeRect : Rectangle \rightarrow Shape;

Why Ontology Matters in Linguistics?

WordNet

- every synset is a semantic concept
- hierarchy of hypernyms and hyponyms

- other relations meronym/holonym
- VerbNet
 - primary valency dictionary
 - ... but also sortal restrictions
- FrameNet
 - knowledge about situations

Ontology vs Knowledge Base

- The ontology is the schema definition of classes and properties
- The knowledge base is a set of instances, related by properties

In Grammatical Framework:

- Abstract Syntax \equiv Ontology
- Expressions \equiv Knowledge Base



1 Introduction

2 Types, Dependent Types and Reasoning

3 Semantic Web







The simple types are well known from every programming language

```
    Types in Syntax
    Types in Semantics

    cat NP, VP, S;
    cat Human, Company;

    fun everyone_NP : NP;
someone_NP : NP;
    fun john_H : Human;
google_H : Company;
```

Note: In GF there is not firm separation between syntax and semantics

The dependent types are types indexed by some value. The dependency could be used to enforce semantic conditions.

Example:

Simply Typed cat Array; fun plus : Array \rightarrow Array \rightarrow Array

Dependently Typed

cat Array Int; fun plus : $(k : Int) \rightarrow Array \ k \rightarrow Array \ k \rightarrow Array \ k$

Knowledge about the world could be encoded in the abstract syntax

Travels Map cat City; Route City City; **fun** gothenburg_C : City *stockholm_C* : *City* $london_C$: City **fun** got2stk_R : Route gothenburg_C stockholm_C

stk2lon_R : Route stockholm_C london_C

We need one more function to make transfers



Travels Map

join gothenburg_C stockholm_C london_C got2stk_R stk2lon_R : Route gothenburg_C london_C

Every route has a distance which could be computed

Distance

fun dist : $(c_1, c_2 : City) \rightarrow Route \ c_1 \ c_2 \rightarrow Int;$ def dist $c_1 \ c_2 \ got2stk_R = 110;$ dist $c_1 \ c_2 \ stk2lon_R = 420;$ dist $c_1 \ c_2 \ (join \ c_1 \ c_3 \ c_2 \ r_{13} \ r_{32}) = dist \ c_1 \ c_3 \ r_{13} + dist \ c_3 \ c_2 \ r_{32};$



2 Types, Dependent Types and Reasoning

Semantic Web







- RDF/RDFS describes **resources**
- Every resource belongs to some **class**
- The descriptions are composed of **statements** which are the atomic units
- Every statement is a tripple of subject (resource), predicate (**property**) and object (**value**).

• Every class, statement, and property is also a resource.

```
cat Resource (c : Class);
Class;
Statement;
Property (domain, range : Class);
```

```
fun class : Class \rightarrow Resource class_C;
statement : Statement \rightarrow Resource statement_C;
property : (d, r : Class) \rightarrow Property d r \rightarrow Resource property_C;
```

Abstract Syntax

fun organization_C, sector_C : Class digitalgrammars_R : Resource organization_C; grammars_sector_R : Resource sector_C; activeInSector_P : Property organization_C sector_C

Concrete Syntax

lincat Resource, Class = Str; lin organization_C = "http://.../protont#Organization"; sector_C = "http://.../protonu#IndustrySector"; digitalgrammars_R = "http://www.digitalgrammars.com"; The statements are also known as assertions in logic:

```
fun assert : (d, r : Class) \rightarrow
Resource d \rightarrow Property d r \rightarrow Value r \rightarrow Statement;
```

here the value category is needed because the object could be a literal also:

```
cat Value (c : Class);
fun res : (c : Class) \rightarrow Resource c \rightarrow Value c;
lit : Literal \rightarrow Value literal_C;
```

English

Digital Grammars is active in sector grammars.

RDF Abstract Syntax

assert organization_C sector_C digitalgrammars_R activeInSector_P grammars_sector_R

RDF Concrete Syntax

(http://www.digitalgrammars.com)
(http://.../protonu#activeInSector)
(http://www.digitalgrammars.com/grammars)

Class Hierarchy in OWL

Inheritance and Type Casting

cat SubClass Class Class; Inheritance Class Class;

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{fun trans} : (c_1, c_2, c_3 : Class) \\ \rightarrow SubClass c_1 c_2 \\ \rightarrow Inheritance c_2 c_3 \\ \rightarrow Inheritance c_1 c_3 \end{array}$

fun upcast : $(c_1, c_2 : Class)$ \rightarrow Inheritance $c_1 c_2$ \rightarrow Resource c_1 \rightarrow Resource c_2



2 Types, Dependent Types and Reasoning

3 Semantic Web







• INGREDIENTS

- $1/4\ {\rm cup}\ {\rm finely}\ {\rm chopped}\ {\rm onion}$
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ teaspoon\ dried\ basil$
- $1/4\ teaspoon\ paprika$
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

• INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- 1/4 teaspoon dried basil
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat Quantity; fun whole : Int \rightarrow Quantity; -- 1 fraction : Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Quantity; -- 1/2 whole_plus : Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Int \rightarrow Quantity; -- 1 1/2

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

cat Unit; **fun** cup, tablespoon, teaspoon, can : Unit;

cat Measure; fun measure : Quantity \rightarrow Unit \rightarrow Measure;

• INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ {\rm teaspoon}\ {\rm dried}\ {\rm basil}$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- $1\ {\rm can}\ {\rm condensed}\ {\rm tomato}\ {\rm soup}$
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat *Product*;

fun onion, butter, margarine,

basil, paprika, milk, powder, soup : Product;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

• INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- 1/4 teaspoon dried basil
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- $1\ {\rm can}\ {\rm condensed}\ {\rm tomato}\ {\rm soup}$
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat *Product*;

fun onion, butter, margarine,

basil, paprika, milk, garlic, tomato : Product; fun powder, soup : Product \rightarrow Product;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

• INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ {\rm teaspoon}\ {\rm dried}\ {\rm basil}$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- $1\ {\rm can}\ {\rm condensed}\ {\rm tomato}\ {\rm soup}$
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

fun alternative, composite : $Product \rightarrow Product \rightarrow Product;$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ {\rm teaspoon}\ {\rm dried}\ {\rm basil}$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- $1\ {\rm can}\ {\rm condensed}\ {\rm tomato}\ {\rm soup}$
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat *Preparation*; **fun** *chopped*, *dried*, *condensed* : *Preparation*;

fun preparation : Preparation \rightarrow Product \rightarrow Product;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- 1/4 teaspoon dried basil
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat PreparationMod; fun finely : PreparationMod;

fun preparation_mod : PreparationMod \rightarrow Preparation \rightarrow Preparation;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ teaspoon\ dried\ basil$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat Ingredient; **fun** ingredient : Measure \rightarrow Product \rightarrow Ingredient;

ingredient (measure (fraction 1 4) teaspoon) (preparation dried basil)1/4 teaspoon dried basilingredient (measure (fraction 1 4) teaspoon) paprika1/4 teaspoon paprikaingredient (measure (fraction 1 8) teaspoon) (powder garlic)1/8 teaspoon garlic powderingredient (measure (whole 1) cup) milk1 cup milk

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ teaspoon\ dried\ basil$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

Directions:

cat Direction; fun action : Action \rightarrow Direction; operation : Operation \rightarrow Duration \rightarrow Direction;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Actions:

cat Action; **fun** add : Product \rightarrow Action;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Operations:

cat Operation; fun soute : Product → Product → Operation; stir_in : Product → Operation; cook : Temperature → Operation;

cat Temperature; **fun** celsius : Int \rightarrow Temperature;

fun medium_heat : Temperature; def medium_heat = celsius 80;

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- 1/4 teaspoon dried basil
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat Duration; fun until : Condition → Duration; for_time : Int → TimeUnit → Duration;

cat *Condition*; **fun** *tender*, *blended*, *heated* : *Condition*;

cat *TimeUnit*; **fun** *second*, *minute*, *hour* : *TimeUnit*;

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- 1/4 teaspoon dried basil
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

fun either : Duration \rightarrow Duration \rightarrow Duration;

• INGREDIENTS

- 1/4 cup finely chopped onion
- 1 tablespoon butter or margarine
- $1/4\ teaspoon\ dried\ basil$
- 1/4 teaspoon paprika
- 1/8 teaspoon garlic powder
- 1 can condensed tomato soup
- 1 cup milk

DIRECTIONS

cat *Receipe*; **fun** *receipe* : [*Ingredient*] \rightarrow [*Direction*] \rightarrow *Receipe*;

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

The ontology permits some expressions which are syntactically correct but not semantically consistent.

soute onion garlic soute onion in garlic *stir_in paprika* stir in paprika

Solution: Use Dependent Types

cat Kind; fun liquid, oil, firm : Kind;

cat Product Kind;

fun alternative, composite : $(k : Kind) \rightarrow Product \ k \rightarrow Product \ k \rightarrow Product \ k;$

fun add : $(k : Kind) \rightarrow Product \ k \rightarrow Direction;$

fun soute : Product firm → Product oil → Operation; stir_in : Product liquid → Operation;

- Some product could be mentioned in the description only if it is also declared in the ingredients
- The total quantity of every product in the description should be equal to the quantity in the ingredients

RDF Concrete Syntax

```
gf2rdf : Resource measure_C \rightarrow Measure \rightarrow [Statement]
gf2rdf id (whole v) =
     [ assert ? ? id hasValue (lit (int v))
gf2rdf id (fraction m n) =
     [ assert ? ? id hasNomValue (lit (int m))
     , assert ? ? id hasDenomValue (lit (int n))
gf2rdf id (whole_plus v m n) =
     [ assert ? ? id hasValue (lit (int n))
     , assert ? ? id hasNomValue (lit (int m))
     , assert ? ? id hasDenomValue (lit (int n))
```

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 _ のへぐ

Thank You and Have Fun !!!